



# Funding Valuation Adjustment

## Funding Valuation Adjustment Introduction

- ◆ Funding valuation adjustment is introduced to capture the incremental costs of funding uncollateralized derivatives.
- ◆ Funding valuation adjustment is the difference between the rate paid for the collateral to the bank's treasury and rate paid by the clearinghouse.
- ◆ Funding valuation adjustment can be thought of as a hedging cost or benefit arising from the mismatch between an uncollateralized derivative and a collateralized hedge in the interdealer market.

## Master Agreement

- ◆ Master agreement is a document agreed between two parties, which applies to all transactions between them.
- ◆ Close out and netting agreement is part of the Master Agreement.
- ◆ If two trades can be netted, the credit exposure is
$$E(t) = \max(V_1(t) + V_2(t), 0)$$
- ◆ If two trade cannot be netted (called non-netting), the credit exposure is

$$E(t) = \max(V_1(t), 0) + \max(V_2(t), 0)$$

## CSA Agreement

- ◆ Credit Support Annex (CSA) or Margin Agreement or Collateral Agreement is a legal document that regulates collateral posting.
- ◆ Trades under a CSA should be also under a netting agreement, but not vice verse.
- ◆ It defines a variety of terms related to collateral posting.
  - ◆ Threshold
  - ◆ Minimum transfer amount (MTA)
  - ◆ Independent amount (or initial margin or haircut)

## Risk Neutral Simulation: Interest Rate and FX

- ◆ Recommended 1-factor model: Hull-White

$$dr_t = (\theta_t - \alpha r_t)dt + \sigma_t dW_t$$

- ◆ Recommended multi-factor model: 2-factor Hull-White or Libor Market Model (LMM)
- ◆ All curve simulations should be brought into a common measure.
  - ◆ Simulate interest rate curves in different currencies.
  - ◆ Change measure from the risk neutral measure of a quoted currency to the risk neutral measure of the base currency.
- ◆ Forward FX rate can be derived using interest rate parity

$$F = S_0 \exp(r_s - r_q)t$$

## Risk Neutral Simulation: Equity Price

- ◆ Geometric Brownian Motion (GBM)

$$\frac{dr}{r} = \mu dt + \sigma dw$$

- ◆ Pros

- ◆ Simple
- ◆ Non-negative stock price

- ◆ Cons

- ◆ Simulated values could be extremely large for a longer horizon.

## Risk Neutral Simulation: Commodity Price

- ◆ Simulate commodity spot, future and forward prices as well as pipeline spreads
- ◆ Two factor model

$$\begin{aligned}\log(S_t) &= q_t + \mathcal{X}_t + \mathcal{Y}_t \\ d\mathcal{X}_t &= (\alpha_1 - \gamma_1 \mathcal{X}_t)dt + \sigma_1 dW_t^1 \\ d\mathcal{Y}_t &= (\alpha_2 - \gamma_2 \mathcal{Y}_t)dt + \sigma_2 dW_t^2 \\ dW_t^1 dW_t^2 &= \rho dt\end{aligned}$$

where  $S_t$  is the spot price or spread;  $q_t$  is the deterministic function;  $\mathcal{X}_t$  is the short term deviation and  $\mathcal{Y}_t$  is the long term equilibrium level

- ◆ This model leads to a closed form solution of forward prices and thus forward term structure.

## Risk Neutral Simulation: Volatility

- ◆ In the risk neutral world, the volatility is embedded in the price simulation.
- ◆ Thus, there is no need to simulate implied volatilities.

## Credit Exposure Approach Implementation

- ◆ Obtain the risk-free value  $V_f(t)$  of a counterparty portfolio that should be reported by trading systems.
- ◆ The solution is based on the existing credit exposure framework.
- ◆ Switch simulation from the real-world measure to the risk neutral measure.
- ◆ Calculate discounted risk-neutral credit exposures (EEs) and take master agreement and CSA into account.
- ◆ One can directly compute CVA using the following formula

$$CVA = (1 - R) \sum_{k=1}^N [PD(t_k) - PD(t_{k-1})] EE^*(t)$$

## Credit Exposure Approach Implementation (Cont'd)

- ◆ Or one can compute the risky value  $V_r(t)$  of the portfolio via discounting positive EEs by counterparty's CDS spread + risk-free interest rate as the positive EEs bearing counterparty risk and negative EEs by the bank's own CDS spread + risk-free interest rate as the negative EEs bearing the bank's credit risk.

$$CVA = V_f(t) - V_r(t)$$

- ◆ Furthermore, you can compute the funding value  $V_F(t)$  of the portfolio via discounting positive EEs by counterparty's bond spread + risk-free interest rate and negative EEs by the bank's own bond spread + risk-free interest rate.

$$FVA = V_f(t) - V_F(t) - CVA = V_r - V_F$$

## Least Square Monte Carlo Approach Implementation

- ◆ Obtain the risk-free value  $V_f(t)$  of a counterparty portfolio that should be reported by trading systems.
- ◆ Simulate market risk factors in the risk-neutral measure.
- ◆ Generate payoffs for all trades based on Monte Carlo simulation.
- ◆ Aggregate payoffs based on the Master agreement and CSA.
- ◆ Compute the risky value  $V_r(t)$  of the portfolio using Longstaff-Schwartz approach.

## LSMC Approach Implementation (Cont'd)

- ◆ Positive cash flows should be discounted by counterparty's CDS spread + risk-free interest rate while negative cash flows should be discounted by the bank's own CDS spread + risk-free interest rate.
- ◆  $CVA = V_f(t) - V_r(t)$
- ◆ Moreover, you can compute the funding value  $V_F(t)$  of the portfolio using Longstaff-Schwartz approach as well
- ◆ Positive cash flows should be discounted by counterparty's bond spread + risk-free interest rate while negative cash flows should be discounted by the bank's own bond spread + risk-free interest rate.
- ◆  $FVA = V_f(t) - V_F(t) - CVA = V_r - V_F$



Reference:

<https://finpricing.com/lib/EqWarrant.html>